



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 09/898,286 | 07/03/2001 | Geoffrey Donald Tremain | 1821-01100 | 2215 |
| 23505 | 7590 | 07/05/2007 | | |
| CONLEY ROSE, P.C. David A. Rose P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267 | | | EXAMINER SHIFERAW, ELENI A | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2136 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 07/05/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/898,286

Applicant(s)TREMAIN, GEOFFREY
DONALD**Examiner**

Eleni A. Shiferaw

Art Unit

2136

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Johanton.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 2001/0011304 and 5701451.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Wesinger's virtual hosts 1-N not running a separate operating system. The office action mailed on 03/23/2007 page 3 discloses Rogers et al. for disclosing argued limitation. The examiner requested filing of formal argument and will consider applicant's argument. Also the examiner promised to discuss applicant's argument with a primary. The office will contact the applicant based on the discussion made with the primary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required